

OUTGOING TELEGRAM Department of State

INDICATE: ☐ COLLECT
☐ CHARGE TO

CONFIDENTIAL

EXCISE

90

OCT 22 2 05 PM '62

JU/ARA
CUBA

55-52

CIRCULAR NIACE 711

ACTION: Embassy Santiago

Embassy Caracas

Embassy LONDON

Embassy ACCRA

DAIRY

DAIRY

L

SS

G

SP

SAL

AF

ARA

EUR

FE

NEA

P

IOP

INR

RMR

US expects Cuba quarantine will be taken pursuant to resolution of

Organ of Consultation. Soviets expected to claim, in UNSC, that resolution constitutes "enforcement action" under Article 53 UN Charter, and thus SC authorization required. LA position in SC crucial for disposing of this allegation. It is important that LA delegates in New York be instructed appropriately to oppose and defeat such contention in whatever form it may arise. Please call this matter to attention foreign minister or appropriate official. In discussing this, you may draw upon the following analysis:

Twice before UNSC has considered Art. 53. In September, 1960, Soviets alleged that OAS diplomatic and embargo measures against Dom. Rep. constituted "enforcement action". SC rejected that allegation. Earlier this year, Cuba claimed Punta del Este decisions required SC authorization. Again, SC disagreed.

Basic point which US will make is that measures taken by regional organizations which are recommendatory in form, rather than binding on members of the organ organization, cannot be considered "enforcement action".

Drafted by:

10/22/62

Telegraphic transmission and

ARA- Mr. Martin

L: LCMear, L/UNA: Marks:apj

Classification approved by:

Clearance:

REVIEWED BY

TO Mr. Sisco

DATE

1/27/68

() RELEASE

() DECLASSIFY

(X) EXCISE

(X) DECLASSIFY in PART

() DENY

() Non-responsive

TOP SECRET

OADR

FOI, EO or PA exemptions

TS authority to:

() CLASSIFY as

OADR

(X) DOWNGRADE TS to () S or (X) G, OADR

REPRODUCTION FROM THIS COPY IS PROHIBITED UNLESS "UNCLASSIFIED".

FORM DS-322

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

~~TOP SECRET~~

O A D R

Expression, "enforcement of action", appears in Art. 2(5) and Art. 5 of Charter. Action mentioned in these articles refers to action which SC is authorized to take under Arts. 40, 41, and 42. Art. 40 authorizes preventive measures as preventive action. Articles 41 and 42 empower SC to enforce its decisions by calling upon UN members to apply certain measures or to take action directly. In acting under Arts. 40, 41, and 42, SC does more than recommend. It makes binding decisions, which members are obligated to carry out, and it gives direct orders of enforcement.

This character of SC actions under Arts. 40, 41, and 42 is to be distinguished from recommendations made by the SC under Art. 39 or by GA in discharge of its responsibilities under Chapter 4 of Charter. GA has in past recommended use of armed force, despite contention that this constituted "action" which could only be taken by SC. Since GA powers are only recommendatory in field of peace and security, GA does not take "preventive" or "enforcement" action. This distinction between SC measure which is obligatory and constitutes "action", on the one hand, and measure which is recommended either by SC or by GA, on the other, was alluded to by Int. Court Just. in advisory opinion of July 20, 1952 on UN expenses (UNEF and Congo).

Thus, in context UN bodies, "enforcement action" means action which is obligatory on all members. In considering character of measures taken by regional organization, it is reasonable to apply comparable standard in giving effect to "enforcement action" as it appears in Art. 53. Under Art. 20 of Rio Treaty, resolution on use armed force is not obligatory on parties to

CONFIDENTIAL

~~TOP SECRET~~

O A D R

~~TOP SECRET~~

OADR

D
10/1
11/3

Treaty. Thus, it would not constitute "enforcement action" under Art. 53.

CONFIDENTIAL

History San Fran. Conference supports view quarantine resolution compatible with UN Charter. Article 52(1) appeared originally in Dumbarton Oaks text. In interim between Dum. Oaks and San Fran., Amer. Republics approved Act of Chapultepec. Part II that Act is similar to provisions later incorporated Arts. 6 and 8 of Rio Treaty. Part III Act of Chapultepec went on to state:

"The above Declaration and Recommendation ~~shall~~ constitute a regional arrangement for dealing with such matters relating to the maintenance of international peace and security as are appropriate for regional action in this Hemisphere. The said arrangement, and the pertinent activities and procedures, shall be consistent with the purposes and principles of the general international organization, when established."

Thus Amer. Reps. gave their judgment that measures including the "use of armed force to prevent or repel aggression" constitute "regional action" which might appropriately be taken by a regional arrangement.

When Art. 52 was debated in Com. III/4 at San Fran. Conf., which considered Ch. VIII of Charter, ~~AAAA~~ Camargo (Columbia) ~~was~~ made lengthy statement concerning relationship Inter-American System and Ch. VIII of UN Charter. Statement ended with "the approval of Art. 52 implies that Act of Chapultepec is not in contravention of the Charter." (At San Fran. LAs vigorously defended need for autonomy regional orgs.)

View that quarantine resolution not "enforcement action" is consistent with purpose Art. 53. There was general recognition at San Fran. that regional orgs had important role to play in field peace and security, particularly with

~~TOP SECRET~~

OADR

CONFIDENTIAL

EXCISED COPY FOLLOWS

OUTGOING TELEGRAM Department of State

INDICATE: ☐ COLLECT
☐ CHARGE TO

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
~~TOP SECRET~~ OADR

EXCISE 841
90

OCT 22 2 05 PM '62

55-52

CIRCULAR NLACT 711

ACTION: Embassy Santiago
Embassy Caracas
INSTR: Embassy LONDON
EMBASSY ACCRA
DUBLIN

FC/ARA
CUBA

SS
G
SP
SAL
AF
ARA
EUR
FE
NEA
P
TOP
INR
RMR

US expects Cuba quarantine will be taken pursuant to resolution of 008

Organ of Consultation. Soviets expected to claim, in UNSC, that resolution constitutes "enforcement action" under Article 53 UN Charter, and thus SC authorization required. LA position in SC crucial for disposing of this allegation. It is important that LA delegates in New York be instructed appropriately to oppose and defeat such contention in whatever form it may arise. Please call this matter to attention foreign minister or appropriate official. In discussing this, you may draw upon the following analysis:

Twice before UNSC has considered Art. 53. In september, 1960, Soviets alleged that OAS diplomatic and embargo measures against Dom. Rep. constituted "enforcement action". SC rejected that allegation. Earlier this year, Cuba claimed Punta del Este decisions required SC authorization. Again, SC disagreed.

Basic point which US will make is that measures taken by regional organizations which are recommendatory in form, rather than binding on members of the organization, cannot be considered "enforcement action".

Drafted by: 18/22/62		Telegraphic transmission and: ARA- Mr. Harbin
L:LCMeeker, L:UNA:Larks:epj		Classification approved by:
Clearance:		
REVIEWED by	DATE	
() RELEASE () DECLASSIFY	10-11-88	
(X) EXCISE (X) DECLASSIFY IN PART		
() DENY		
FOI, EO or PAC/2/2/2/2/2		
TS authority to:		
() CLASSIFY as	OADR	
(X) DOWNGRADE TS to () S or (X) C, OADR		

REPRODUCTION FROM THIS COPY IS PROHIBITED UNLESS "UNCLASSIFIED".

CONFIDENTIAL

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

1 AD R

Expression, "enforcement of action", appears in Art. 2(5) and Art. 5 of Charter. Action mentioned in these articles refers to action which SC is authorized to take under Arts. 40, 41, and 42. Art. 40 authorizes provisional measures as preventive action. Articles 41 and 42 empower SC to enforce its decisions by calling upon UN members to apply certain measures or to take action directly. In acting under Arts. 40, 41, and 42, SC does more than recommend. It makes binding decisions, which members are obligated to carry out, and it gives direct orders of enforcement.

This character of SC actions under Arts. 40, 41, and 42 is to be distinguished from recommendations made by the SC under Art. 39 or by GA in discharge of its responsibilities under Chapter 4 of Charter. GA has in past recommended use of armed force, despite contention that this constituted "action" which could only be taken by SC. Since GA powers are only recommendatory in field of peace and security, GA does not take "preventive" or "enforcement" action.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

1 AD R

10/11/57

TOP SECRET

OADR

Treaty. Thus, it would not constitute "enforcement action" under Art. 53.

History-San Fran. Conference supports view quarantine resolution compatible with UN Charter. Article 52(1) appeared originally in Dumbarton Oaks text. In interim between Dum. Oaks and San Fran., Amer. Republics approved Act of Chapultepec. Part II that Act is similar to provisions later incorporated Arts. 6 and 8 of Rio Treaty. Part III Act of Chapultepec went on to state:

"The above Declaration and Recommendation ~~shall~~ constitute a regional arrangement for dealing with such matters relating to the maintenance of international peace and security as are appropriate for regional action in this Hemisphere. The said arrangement, and the pertinent activities and procedures, shall be consistent with the purposes and principles of the general international organization, when established."

Thus Amer. Reps. gave their judgment that measures including the "use of armed force to prevent or repel aggression" constitute "regional action" which might appropriately be taken by a regional arrangement.

When Art. 52 was debated in Com. III/4 at San Fran. Conf., which considered Ch. VIII of Charter, ~~Mr.~~ Camargo (Columbia) made lengthy statement concerning relationship Inter-American System and Ch. VIII of UN Charter. Statement ended with "the approval of Art. 52 implies that Act of Chapultepec is not in contravention of the Charter." (At San Fran. LAs vigorously defended need for autonomy regional orgs.)

View that quarantine resolution not "enforcement action" is consistent with purpose Art. 53. There was general recognition at San Fran. that regional orgs had important role to play in field peace and security, particularly with

TOP SECRET

OADR

CONFIDENTIAL

~~TOP SECRET~~

OADR

CONFIDENTIAL

Q119161

Last 17 years have demonstrated that regional

orgs operate in fact as restraint on actions individual members. Rio Treaty, for example requires two-thirds majority for peace and security measures.

It is relevant to note, in this context, that quarantine action relates to a regional matter, and is directed at a party to Rio Pact. These measures have, as their purpose, protection of hemispheric peace and security. ~~These measures contemplated are not designed to enforce anything on Cuba.~~

~~XX~~
~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~ Quarantine designed to protect and defend countries of Western Hemisphere against nuclear surprise attack. It is not for purpose of enforcing social or political changes upon or within Cuba.

END

RUSK

CONFIDENTIAL

~~TOP SECRET~~

OADR